

CERTIFICATION

SDG No: 1701477B Laboratory: Eurofins, Folsom, CA
 Site: BMSMC Matrix: Air

SUMMARY: Air samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken January 29, 2017 and were analyzed in Eurofins Laboratory of Folsom, California that reported the data under SDG No.: 1701477B. Results were validated using the validation guidelines of Compendium Method TO-15. Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters and Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS), January, 1999. USEPA Hazardous Waste Support Branch. Validating Air Samples. Volatile Organic Analysis of Ambient Air in Canisters by Method TO-15, (SOP # HW-31. Revision #6. June, 2014). The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample summary form shows analytes results that were qualified.

In summary, the results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
1701477B-11A	B13IA-1-012817	Air	TO-15 (full suite)
1701477B-12A	B13IA-2-012817	Air	TO-15 (full suite)
1701477B-13A	B13IA-2DUP-012817	Air	TO-15 (full suite)
1701477B-14A	B13IA-3-012817	Air	TO-15 (full suite)
1701477B-15A	B18IA-5-012817	Air	TO-15 (full suite)
1701477B-16A	B15IA-1-012817	Air	TO-15 (full suite)
1701477B-17A	B15IA-1DUP-012817	Air	TO-15 (full suite)
1701477B-18A	B1315AA-012817	Air	TO-15 (full suite)

Reviewer Name: Rafael Infante
 Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Rafael Infante

Date:

March 18, 2017





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B18SS-1DUP-012617

Lab ID#: 1701478-01A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6020111	Date of Extraction: NA	Date of Collection: 1/26/17 5:43:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 2/1/17 12:46 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	2.5	0.49 J	1.2 J

Air Sample Volume(L): 0.400

J = Estimated value.

Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	91	50-150





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B18SS-1-012617

Lab ID#: 1701478-02A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6020112	Date of Extraction: NA	Date of Collection: 1/26/17 5:37:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 2/1/17 01:26 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	2.5	0.51 J	1.3 J

Air Sample Volume(L): 0.400

J = Estimated value.

Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	97	50-150





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B18SS-2-012617

Lab ID#: 1701478-03A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6020113	Date of Extraction: NA	Date of Collection: 1/26/17 6:43:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 2/1/17 02:06 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	2.5	0.46 J	1.2 J

Air Sample Volume(L): 0.400

J = Estimated value.

Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	91	50-150





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B18SS-3-012617

Lab ID#: 1701478-04A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6020114	Date of Extraction: NA	Date of Collection: 1/26/17 6:23:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 2/1/17 02:46 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	2.5	0.67 J	1.7 J

Air Sample Volume(L): 0.400

J = Estimated value.

Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	106	50-150





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B18SS-4-012617

Lab ID#: 1701478-05A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6020115	Date of Extraction: NA	Date of Collection: 1/26/17 5:13:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 2/1/17 03:26 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	2.5	0.51 J	1.3 J

Air Sample Volume(L): 0.400

J = Estimated value.

Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	96	50-150





Air Toxics

Client Sample ID: B18SS-5-012617

Lab ID#: 1701478-06A

EPA METHOD TO-17

File Name:	6020116	Date of Extraction: NA	Date of Collection: 1/26/17 6:03:00 PM
Dil. Factor:	1.00	Date of Analysis: 2/1/17 04:18 PM	

Compound	Rpt. Limit (ng)	Rpt. Limit (ug/m3)	Amount (ng)	Amount (ug/m3)
Naphthalene	1.0	2.5	0.72 J	1.8 J

Air Sample Volume(L): 0.400

J = Estimated value.

Container Type: TO-17 VI Tube

Surrogates	%Recovery	Method Limits
Naphthalene-d8	96	50-150





Sample Transportation Notice

Relinquishing signature on this document indicates that sample is being shipped in compliance with all applicable local, State, Federal, national, and international laws, regulations and ordinances of any kind. Air Toxics Limited assumes no liability with respect to the collection handling or shipping of these samples. Relinquished signature also indicated agreement to hold harmless, defend, and indemnify Air Toxics Limited against any claim, demand, or action of any kind, related to the collection, handling, or shipping of samples. D.O.T. Hotline (800) 467-4922.

AIR TOXICS LTD.
180 BLUE RAVINE RD. SUITE B
FOLSOM, CA 95630-1020
916-985-1000 main line
916-985-1020 fax line

Chain-of-Custody Record

70-17

Page / of /

Project Manager: Terry Taylor
Company: Anderson Mulholland & Associates, Inc.
Address: 2700 Winchester, Suite 410 City: Purchase State: NY Zip: 10577
Phone: 914-251-0400, ext. 309 FAX:

Collected By (print and sign): David Mulstrand

Project Information:

P.O. #
Project #
Project Name BMS VI Invest.
Buildings 8, 13, 15, 18, and 30

Turn Around Time:

☒ Normal
☐ Rush
Specify

Reporting Units:

☐ ppmv
☐ ppbv
☒ ug/m3
☐ mg/m3

Analysis Requested

Lab I.D.	Field Sample I.D.	Tube/ Cartridge	Date of Collection	Start Time	End Time	Duration	Final Volume	Analysis Requested
01A	B18 SS-1 DUP-012617	151852	1/26/17	1740	1743	3min	0.40	Thallium
02A	B18 SS-1-012617	150050	1/26/17	1734	1737	3min	0.40	Thallium
03A	B18 SS-2-012617	149970	1/26/17	1840	1843	3min	0.40	Thallium
04A	B18 SS-3-012617	135660	1/26/17	1820	1823	3min	0.40	Thallium
05A	B18 SS-4-012617	150515	1/26/17	1710	1713	3min	0.40	Thallium
06A	B18 SS-5-012617	143614	1/26/17	1800	1803	3min	0.40	Thallium

Relinquished By: (Signature) Date/Time

Relinquished By: (Signature) Date/Time

Relinquished By: (Signature) Date/Time

Received By: (Signature) Date/Time

Received By: (Signature) Date/Time

Received By: (Signature) Date/Time

Pump Calibration Information

Pre-test Flow Rate:

Post-test Flow Rate:

Average Flow Rate:

Notes:

Lab Use Only

Shipper Name

Air Bill #

Opened By

Temp @

Condition

Custody Seals

Work Order #

Lab Use Only

AB

12.9°C

Good seal

Yes No (None)

1701478

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: **1701478** Laboratory: **Eurofins, Folsom, CA**
Analysis: **TO-17** Number of Samples: **6**
Location:

SUMMARY: Six (6) samples were analyzed for the naphthalene in ambient air following Compendium Method TO-17. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA documents in the following order of precedence: the quality control performance criteria of "Compendium Method TO-17. Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Ambient Air Using Active Sampling Onto Sorbent Tubes (modified), January, 1999". In addition the following guideline is employed for the evaluation of the set-up of the GC/MS analytical system including column selection, MS tune requirements, calibration protocols, etc., as per TO-17 method requirements: USEPA Hazardous Waste Support Branch. Validating Air Samples. Volatile Organic Analysis of Ambient Air in Canisters by Method TO-15, (SOP # HW-31. Revision #6. June, 2014). The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues: **None**
Major: **None**
Minor: **None**

Critical findings: **None**
Major findings: **None**
Minor findings: **1.** All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding time. Samples received in good conditions and no receiving discrepancies were observed except the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. A Temperature Blank was included with the shipment. Temperature was measured and was not within 4 ± 2 °C. Coolant in the form of blue ice was present. Analysis proceeded; no action taken professional judgment.
2. No data provided to determine the % difference in sample flow rate (beginning/end). 0.4 L of sample collected.

COMMENTS: Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name: Rafael Infante
Chemist License 1888

Signature:
Date:



March 15, 2017

NAPHTHALENE DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

METHOD: TO-17

NAPHTHALENE - TO 17

Sample ID	Date	Results	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
1701478-01A	1/26/2017	0.49	ng	1.0	J	J	Yes
1701478-02A	1/26/2017	0.51	ng	1.0	J	J	Yes
1701478-03A	1/26/2017	0.46	ng	1.0	J	J	Yes
1701478-04A	1/26/2017	0.67	ng	1.0	J	J	Yes
1701478-05A	1/26/2017	0.51	ng	1.0	J	J	Yes
1701478-06A	1/26/2017	0.72	ng	1.0	J	J	Yes

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Project Number: 1701478

Date: 01/26/2017

REVIEW OF VOLATILE ORGANIC PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA the documents described in the following order of precedence: QC criteria from "Compendium Method TO-17. Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Ambient Air Using Active Sampling Onto Sorbent Tubes (modified), January, 1999"; In addition the following guideline is employed for the evaluation of the set-up of the GC/MS analytical system including column selection, MS tune requirements, calibration protocols, etc., as per TO-17 method requirements: USEPA Hazardous Waste Support Branch. Validating Air Samples. Volatile Organic Analysis of Ambient Air in Canisters by Method TO-15, (SOP # HW-31. Revision #6. June, 2014). The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Eurofins - Air Toxics data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for VOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: 1701478

Sample matrix: Air

No. of Samples: 6

Trip blank No.: -

Field blank No.: -

Equipment blank No.: -

Field duplicate No.: B18SS-1DUP-012617/B18SS-1-012617

☒ Data Completeness

☒ Laboratory Control Spikes

☒ Holding Times

☒ Field Duplicates

☒ GC/MS Tuning

☒ Calibrations

☒ Internal Standard Performance

☒ Compound Identifications

☒ Blanks

☒ Compound Quantitation

☒ Surrogate Recoveries

☒ Quantitation Limits

Overall Comments: Naphthalene_by_method_TO-17_(modified)_detection_by_full_scan_GC/MS

Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results

U- Compound not detected

R- Rejected data

UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Delfaut

Date: 03/15/2017

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

DATA COMPLETENESS

MISSING INFORMATION

DATE LAB. CONTACTEDDATE RECEIVEDA dashed diagonal line is drawn across the page, starting from the top left and extending towards the bottom right. The line is composed of short, light gray dashes. The background consists of horizontal blue lines on a white page.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE ANALYZED	> 10% difference in sample flow rate (beginning/end)	ACTION
All samples analyzed within the recommended method holding time. Samples received in good conditions and no receiving discrepancies were observed except the cases described in this document. A Temperature Blank was included with the shipment. Temperature was measured and was not within 4±2 °C. Coolant in the form of blue ice was present. Analysis proceeded; no action taken professional judgment. No data provided to determine the % difference in sample flow rate (beginning/end). 0.4 L of sample collected.				

Criteria

Samples should be refrigerated at <4°C in a clean environment during storage and analyzed within 30 days of sample collection (within one week for limonene, carene, *bis*-chloromethyl ether and labile sulfur or nitrogen containing volatiles). Samples taken on tubes containing multiple sorbent beds should be analyzed as soon as possible after sampling unless it is know in advance that storage will not cause significant sample recovery errors.

Receiving temperature: 12°C, 9°C

Actions

If holding times are exceeded use professional judgment to qualify positive results and non-detects.

Performance Criteria for the Monitoring Pump

Sampling pump errors can normally be presumed to be in the order of 5% (8). If the pump sampling flow rate measured at the end of sample collection varies more than 10% from that measured at the beginning of sample collection, then that sample is invalidated.

GC/MS TUNING

The assessment of the tuning results is to determine if the sample instrumentation is within the standard tuning QC limits. The following actions from the TO-15 compendium method are employed.

Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometer (GC/MS) Instrument Performance Check

Action:

NOTES: This requirement does not apply when samples are analyzed by the Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) technique.

All mass spectrometer instrument conditions must be identical to those used during the sample analysis. Background subtraction actions resulting in spectral distortions for the sole purpose of meeting the method specifications are contrary to the Quality Assurance (QA) objectives, and are therefore unacceptable.

NOTES: No data should be qualified based on BFB or DFTTP failure. Instances of this should be noted in the narrative.

All ion abundance ratios must be normalized to m/z 95, the nominal base peak, even though the ion abundance of m/z 174 may be up to 120% that of m/z 95.

1. If samples are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
2. If the laboratory has made minor transcription errors which do not significantly affect the data, the data reviewer should make the necessary corrections on a copy of the form.
3. If the laboratory has failed to provide the correct forms or has made significant transcription or calculation errors, the Region's designated representative should contact the laboratory and request corrected data. If the information is not available, the reviewer must use professional judgment to assess the data and notify the Project Officer (PO).
4. If ion abundance criteria are not met, professional judgment may be applied to determine to what extent the data may be utilized. When applying professional judgment to this topic, the most important factors to consider are the empirical results that are relatively insensitive to location on the chromatographic profile and the type of instrumentation. Therefore, the critical ion abundance criteria for BFB are the m/z 95/96, 174/175, 174/176, and 176/177 ratios. The relative abundances of m/z 50 and 75 are of lower importance. This issue is more critical for Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs) than for target analytes.
5. Note, in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with BFB instrument performance check failures (not meeting contract requirements).
6. If the reviewer has reason to believe that instrument performance check criteria were achieved using techniques other than those described in the Compendium method TO-15 entitled "Determination Of Volatile Organic Compounds(VOCs) In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters And Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry(GC/MS)", section 10.4, obtain additional information on the instrument performance checks. If the techniques employed are found to be at variance with the contract requirements, the performance and procedures of the laboratory may merit evaluation.
7. Use professional judgment to determine whether associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compound.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

☒ The BFB performance results were reviewed and found to be within the specified criteria.

☒ BFB tuning was performed for every 24 hours of sample analysis.

If no, use professional judgment to determine whether the associated data should be accepted, qualified or rejected.

List the samples affected:

If mass calibration is in error, all associated data are rejected.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒X___
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data. The calibration criteria and appropriate actions from the compendium method TO-15 are employed.

Date of initial calibration: _____01/27/17_____
 Dates of continuing calibration: _____02/01/17_____
 Instrument ID numbers: _____MSD-6_____
 Matrix/Level: _____Air/low_____

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and continuing calibrations meet method specific requirements. Initial calibration retention times meet method specific requirements.					

The following criteria apply:

Table 5. Initial Calibration Actions for TO-15 Analyses

Criteria for TO-15 Analysis	Action	
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
RRF < 0.010 (poor response volatile target compounds, Table 4) RRF < 0.050 (all other volatile target compounds)	J (based on mass spectral identification)	R
RRF > 0.010 (poor response volatile target compounds, Table 4) RRF > 0.050 (all other volatile target compounds)	No qualification	
% RSD > 40.0 or < -40.0 (poor response volatile target compounds, Table 4) % RSD > 30.0 or < -30.0 (all other Volatile target compounds)	No qualification	
% RSD < 40.0 and > -40.0 (poor response volatile target compounds, Table 4) % RSD < 30.0 and > -30.0 (all other volatile target compounds)	J	Use professional judgment

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Table 6. Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) Actions for TO-15 Analyses

Criteria for CCV	Action	
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds
RRF < 0.010 (poor response volatile target compounds, Table 4) RRF < 0.050 (all other volatile target compounds)	J (based on mass spectral identification)	R
RRF > 0.010 (poor response volatile target compounds, Table 4) RRF > 0.050 (all other volatile target compounds)	No qualification	
%D > 40.0 or < -40.0 (poor response volatile target compounds, Table 4) %D > 30.0 or < -30.0 (all other Volatile target compounds)	J	UJ
%D < 40.0 and > -40.0 (poor response volatile target compounds, Table 4) %D < 30.0 and > -30.0 (all other volatile target compounds)	No qualification	

If the % D for daily calibration exceeds -90, use professional judgment to see if non-detects need to be qualified as unusable "R"

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Table 4. TO 15 Volatile Compounds List*

Compound	CAS Number	Synonyms
Acetone	67-64-1	Dimethyl ketone; Dimethylformaldehyde; 2-Propanone
Allyl chloride	107-05-1	3-Chloropropene; 3-Chloroprene
Benzene	71-43-2	Benzol; Benzine
Benzyl chloride	100-44-7	Chloromethylbenzene; alpha-Chlorotoluene
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	Monobromodichloromethane; Methane-bromodichloro
Bromoethene	593-60-2	Vinyl bromide; Monobromoethene
Bromoform	75-25-2	Tribromoethane
Bromomethane	74-83-9	Methyl bromide; Monobromomethane
1,3-Butadiene	106-99-0	Biethylene; Erythrene; Pyrrolyene
Carbon disulfide	75-15-0	Carbon bisulfide; Carbon sulfide
Carbon tetrachloride	56-23-5	Carbon tet; Tetrachloromethane
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	Monochlorobenzene; Chlorobenzol; Benzene chloride
Chloroethane	75-00-3	Ethyl chloride; Chlorene; Chloryl
Chloroethene	75-01-4	Vinyl chloride; Ethylene monochloride
Chloroform	67-66-3	Trichloromethane; Methyltrichloride; Methane trichloride
Chloromethane	74-87-3	R40; Methyl chloride; Monochloromethane
Cyclohexane	110-82-7	Hexamethylene; Hexahydrobenzene; Hexanaphthene
Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	Chlorodibromomethane
1,2-Dibromoethane	106-93-4	EDB; Ethylene dibromide
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	ODB; Chloroben
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	541-73-1	meta-Dichlorobenzene; m-Phenylenedichloride
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	para-Dichlorobenzene; Parazene; Santochlor
1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	Ethylidene chloride; Ethylidene dichloride
1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	Ethylene dichloride; Glycol dichloride; 1,2-DCA
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	1,1-DCE; Vinylidene chloride
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	156-59-2	cis-1,2-DCE; cis-Acetylene dichloride
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	156-60-5	trans-1,2-DCE; trans-Acetylene dichloride
1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	Propylene dichloride; Propylene chloride
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10061-01-5	1-Propene, 1,3-dichloro-, (z)-; cis-1,3-Dichloro-1-Propene
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	10061-02-6	trans-1,3-Dichloro-1-Propene; trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	Diethylene dioxide; Diethylene ether
Ethyl acetate	141-78-6	Acetic acid ethyl ester; Acetic ether
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	Ethylbenzol; Phenylethane
4-Ethyltoluene	622-96-8	1-Ethyl-4-methyl benzene; p-Methylethylbenzene
Freon 11 (CCl ₃ F)	75-69-4	Trichlorofluoromethane; Fluorotrichloromethane; Fluorocarbon 11

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Freon 12 (CCl ₂ F ₂)	75-71-8	Dichlorodifluoromethane; Fluorocarbon 12
Freon 113 (C ₂ Cl ₃ F ₃)	76-13-1	1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane; Fluorocarbon 113; 1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethane
Freon 114 (C ₂ Cl ₂ F ₄)	76-14-2	1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethane; Halocarbon 114; 1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane
Heptane	142-82-5	Dipropylmethane; Heptyl hydride
Hexachlorobutadiene	87-68-3	1,3-Hexachlorobutadiene; Perchlorobutadiene
Hexane	110-54-3	n-Hexane; Hexyl hydride
2-Hexanone	591-78-6	Methyl butyl ketone; Butyl methyl ketone; Hexan-2-one
Isopropyl alcohol	67-63-0	2-Propanol; Isopropanol
Methylene chloride	75-09-2	Dichloromethane; Methylene dichloride
Methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3	MEK; 2-Butanone; Ethyl methyl ketone
Methyl isobutyl ketone	108-10-1	MIBK; 2-Pentanone; Hexone; Isopropylacetone
Methyl tert-butyl ether	1634-04-4	MTBE; 2-Methoxy-2-methylpropane; tert-Butyl methyl ether
Propylene	115-07-1	Propene; Methylene
Styrene	100-42-5	Vinylbenzene; Phenylethylene
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	Tetrachloroethane; Acetylene tetrachloride; Bonoform
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	PCE; PERC; Perchloroethylene; Ethylene tetrachloride; Carbon bichloride; Carbon dichloride
Tetrahydrofuran	109-99-9	Diethylene oxide; Butylene oxide
Toluene	108-88-3	Toluol; Methylbenzene
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzol
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	Methyl chloroform; Trichloroethane
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	beta-Trichloroethane; Ethane trichloride; Vinyl trichloride
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	TCE; Acetylene trichloride; Ethinyl trichloride
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	95-63-6	Pseudocumene; Pseudocumol
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	108-67-8	Mesitylene; Trimethylbenzol
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane	540-84-1	Iso-octane; Isobutyltrimethylmethane
Vinyl acetate	108-05-4	Acetic acid ethenyl ether; Ethenyl acetate
p-Xylene	106-42-3	p-Methyltoluene; 1,4-dimethylbenzene
m-Xylene	108-38-3	m-Methyltoluene; 1,3-dimethylbenzene
o-Xylene	95-47-6	o-Methyltoluene; 1,2-Dimethylbenzene

*Laboratories use different sets and subsets of analytes on as needed basis.

NOTES:

Compounds in bold italicized letters may have poor GCMS response. These poor response compounds are evaluated using more relaxed relative response factor criteria as stated below.

Note: Naphthalene does not have poor GCMS response. Calibration criteria: RRF > 0.05 and % difference in the continuing calibration verification < 30 %.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
<u> All method blank meet method specific criteria </u>				

Field blanks

Field blanks are the same as laboratory blanks except that they are transported to and from the monitoring site, are uncapped and immediately resealed at the monitoring site, but do not actually have air pumped through them. One field blank tube is taken for every ten sampled tubes on a monitoring exercise.

Criteria:

If the same profile/pattern of VOCs is observed on the field blanks as on the sampled tubes and if the level of these components is 5% or more of the sampled volatiles, careful attention must be paid to the method of sealing the tubes and other storage procedures in future studies. If the profile of volatiles on the field blanks matches that of the sampled tubes and if the areas of the peaks on the field blank are 10% or more of sampled tube levels, the sampled tube data are invalidated.

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
<u> No field/equipment blank analyzed with this data package. </u>				

Note:

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

Action Levels (ALs) should be based upon the highest concentration of contaminant determined in any blank. Do not qualify any blank with another blank. The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor, where applicable. No positive sample results should be reported unless the concentration of the compound in the samples exceeds the ALs:

ALs = 10x the amount of common contaminants (methylene chloride, acetone, 2-butanone, and toluene)

ALs = 5x for any other compounds

Specific actions are as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and \leq AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but \leq AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > SQL and > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

Notes:

High and low level blanks must be treated separately

Compounds qualified "U" for blank contamination are still considered "hits" when qualifying for calibration criteria.

[illegible]

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND	ACTION
1,2-DICHLOROETHANE-d4	Naphthalene-d8 4-BFB	

Surrogate recoveries within laboratory control limits _____

QC Limits* (Air)

LL to UL to 50 to 150 to

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 80 – 120 % for aqueous and 70 – 130 % for solid samples.

Actions:

QUALITY	%R < 10%	%R = 10% - LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J	J
Nondetects results	R	UJ	Accept

Surrogate action should be applied:

If one or more surrogate in the VOC fraction is out of specification, but has a recovery of > 10%.

If any one surrogate in a fraction shows < 10 % recovery.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

Where LCS spiked with the same analyte at the same concentrations as the MS/MSD?
 Yes or No. If no make note in data review memo.

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT
LCS/LCSD_(Blank_spike)_analyzed_in_this_data_package;_%_recoveries_and_RPD_____			
within_laboratory_control_limits._____			

- * QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- * If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 – 130 %.

Actions:

Table 9. LCS/LCSD Actions for TO-15 Analyses

Criteria	Action	
	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-detected Associated Compounds
Percent recovery Criteria		
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit (>130%)	J	No qualification
%R in the acceptable range, 70-130%	No qualification	
%R < Lower Acceptance Limit (< 70 %)	J	UJ
%R < 50%	J	R
Lower Acceptance Limit ≤ %R ≤ Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	
Relative Percent Difference Criteria		
% RPD ≤ 25%	No qualification	
% RPD > 25 %	J	UJ

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix? **Yes** or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒ X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below _____

IX. LABORATORY/FIELD DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs: B18SS-1DUP-012617/B18SS-1-012617_(field)_ Matrix: Air
 Sample IDs: LCS/LCSD_(laboratory) Matrix: Air

Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.

Suggested criteria: $RPD \pm 50\%$ for air samples. If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION

Note: Laboratory field duplicates analyzed as part of this data set. Laboratory duplicate were within method performance criteria.

Field duplicates RPD are within method performance criteria.

Actions:

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria. For organics, only the sample and duplicate will be qualified.

If an RPD cannot be calculated because one or both of the sample results is not detected, the following actions apply:

If one sample result is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

If one sample value is not detected and the other is greater than 5x the SQL and the SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is less than 5x, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If both sample and duplicate results are not detected, no action is needed.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met
 and/or see below

X. INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

- * Area of +40% or -40% of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.
- * Retention time (RT) within ± 20 seconds of the IS area in the associated calibration standard.

DATE	SAMPLE ID	IS OUT	IS AREA	ACCEPTABLE RANGE	ACTION
------	-----------	--------	---------	------------------	--------

_Internal_standard_area_and_retention_times_within_laboratory_control_limits_for_both_samples_and_calibration_standards._

Actions:

Table 10. Internal Standard Actions for TO-15 Analyses

Criteria	Action	
	Detected Associated Compounds*	Non-detected Associated Compounds*
Area counts > 140% of CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	J-	No qualification
Area counts < 60% of CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	J+	R
Area counts $\geq 60\%$ but $\leq 140\%$ of CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	No qualification	
RT difference > 20.0 seconds between samples CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	R*	
RT difference < 20.0 seconds between samples and CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration)	No qualification	

* Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met ☒X____
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

XII. SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

1701478-08A

Naphthalene RF = 2.21454

$$[] = (14765)(36)/(489134)(2.21454)$$

$$= 0.491 \text{ ng OK}$$

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below _____

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS

A. Dilution performed

[illegible]